
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

**REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the month of March 2023

Commission File Number: 001-41562

NewAmsterdam Pharma Company N.V.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Gooimeer 2-35
1411 DC Naarden
The Netherlands
(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

On March 7, 2023, NewAmsterdam Pharma Company N.V. (the "Company") posted an updated corporate investor presentation on its website (<https://www.newamsterdampharma.com/>). A copy of the corporate investor presentation is furnished as Exhibit 99.1 to this Report on Form 6-K. The information contained on, or that can be accessed from, the Company's website is not incorporated into, and does not constitute a part of, this Report on Form 6-K.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
99.1	NewAmsterdam Pharma Company N.V. Corporate Presentation

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NewAmsterdam Pharma Company N.V.

March 7, 2023

By: /s/ Michael Davidson


Name: Michael Davidson

Title: Chief Executive Officer



**NewAmsterdam
Pharma**

March 2023





Disclaimer

This presentation (together with oral statements made in connection herewith, this "Presentation") is for informational purposes only. This Presentation shall not constitute an offer to sell, or the solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities, nor shall there be any sale of securities in any states or jurisdictions in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements included in this Presentation that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements for purposes of the safe harbor provisions under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements generally are accompanied by words such as "believe," "may," "will," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "intend," "expect," "should," "would," "plan," "predict," "potential," "seem," "seek," "future," "outlook" and similar expressions that predict or indicate future events or trends or that are not statements of historical matters. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements by NewAmsterdam Pharma Company N.V. ("NewAmsterdam" or the "Company") regarding estimates and forecasts of other financial and performance metrics and projections of market opportunity; expectations and timing related to the success, cost and timing of product development activities, including timing of initiation, completion and data readouts for clinical trials and the potential approval of the Company's product candidate; the size and growth potential of the markets for the Company's product candidate; the therapeutic and curative potential of the Company's product candidate; financing and other business milestones; and the Company's expected cash runway. These statements are based on various assumptions, whether or not identified in this Presentation, and on the current expectations of the Company's management and are not predictions of actual performance. These forward-looking statements are provided for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to serve as and must not be relied on as a guarantee, an assurance, a prediction, or a definitive statement of fact or probability. Actual events and circumstances are difficult or impossible to predict and may differ from assumptions. Many actual events and circumstances are beyond the control of the Company. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including changes in domestic and foreign business, market, financial, political, and legal conditions; risks related to the approval of NewAmsterdam's product candidate and the timing of expected regulatory and business milestones; ability to negotiate definitive contractual arrangements with potential customers; the impact of competitive product candidates; ability to obtain sufficient supply of materials; the impact of COVID-19; global economic and political conditions, including the Russia-Ukraine conflict; the effects of competition on NewAmsterdam's future business; and those factors discussed in documents filed by the Company with the SEC. Additional risks related to NewAmsterdam's business include, but are not limited to: uncertainty regarding outcomes of the company's ongoing clinical trials, particularly as they relate to regulatory review and potential approval for its product candidate; risks associated with the Company's efforts to commercialize a product candidate; the Company's ability to negotiate and enter into definitive agreements on favorable terms, if at all; the impact of competing product candidates on the Company's business; intellectual property-related claims; the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and the Company's ability to continue to source the raw materials for its product candidate, together with the risks described in the Company's filings made with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time.

If any of these risks materialize or NewAmsterdam's assumptions prove incorrect, actual results could differ materially from the results implied by these forward-looking statements. There may be additional risks that are presently unknown by the Company or that NewAmsterdam currently believes are immaterial that could also cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements. In addition, forward-looking statements reflect NewAmsterdam's expectations, plans, or forecasts of future events and views as of the date of this Presentation and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the cautionary statements herein. NewAmsterdam anticipates that subsequent events and developments will cause the Company's assessments to change. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as representing NewAmsterdam's assessments as of any date subsequent to the date of this Presentation. Accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed upon the forward-looking statements. Neither NewAmsterdam nor any of its affiliates undertakes any obligation to update these forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

Market Data


Certain information contained in this Presentation relates to or is based on third-party studies, publications, surveys and NewAmsterdam's own internal estimates and research. In addition, all of the market data included in this Presentation involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and there can be no guarantee as to the accuracy or reliability of such assumptions. Finally, while NewAmsterdam believes its internal research is reliable, such research has not been verified by any independent source and NewAmsterdam cannot guarantee and makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to its accuracy and completeness.

Trademarks

This Presentation contains trademarks, service marks, trade names, and copyrights of NewAmsterdam and other companies, which are the property of their respective owners. The use or display of third parties' trademarks, service marks, trade name or products in this Presentation is not intended to, and does not imply, a relationship with NewAmsterdam or an endorsement or sponsorship by or of NewAmsterdam. Solely for convenience, the trademarks, service marks and trade names referred to in this Presentation may appear with the TM or SM symbols, but such references are not intended to indicate, in any way, that NewAmsterdam will not assert, to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law, their rights or the right of the applicable licensor to these trademarks, service marks and trade names.



NewAmsterdam is developing oral obicetrapib as CETPi to address major unmet need in hypercholesterolemia and other cardiometabolic diseases



OBICETRAPIB

Company history:

- Mid-'20: **Founded** after spin-out from Amgen
- Jan '21: **\$196M Series A**
- June '22: **>\$1B European license** with Menarini Group (including €142.5M committed capital + significant back-end economics)
- Nov '22: **NASDAQ listing (NAMS)** with **\$328M total proceeds** (\$93M from FLAC trust in deSPAC, plus \$235M PIPE co-lead by Frazier and Bain)
- Jan '23: **~\$1B market cap** and **\$450M cash**

- **Significant unmet** need for oral LDL-lowering therapy as adjunct to statins: 35mm+ patients in US/EU5 are not achieving LDL-lowering goals despite standard-of-care
- **Simple, oral, once-daily, low dose** CETP inhibitor with strong LDL-lowering efficacy and safety observed through Phase 2b:
 - ~50% LDL-lowering as monotherapy, ~59% in combination with ezetimibe, observed on top of high-intensity statins
 - Strong safety and tolerability in >700 pts
 - Robust effects on ApoB, non-HDL-C, HDL-C and Lp(a)
- **Convenient oral format + low cost of goods sold (COGS)** enables broad market access strategy to address existing unmet need

>35M
addressable
patient
population

~59%
LDL-lowering
observed in
Phase 2b

\$4B+
global market
opportunity

Achievements and milestones:

- **Fully-funded through 2026** including CVOT readout and potential global launch
- **Significant number** of patients randomized in Phase 3 to-date, first DSMB meeting completed
- **Late-stage inflection points** expected from 2023-2025 (including Phase 2 & 3 clinical readouts and registrational filings)
- **Pipeline expansion** potential in Alzheimer's disease and diabetes

Expert cardiometabolic leadership supported by top investors



Michael Davidson, M.D.
CEO



John Kastelein, M.D.
CSO

uniQure

Douglas Kling
COO



Lina Gugucheva
CBO



Louise Kooij
CFO



Marc Ditmarsch, M.D.
CDO



SEASONED BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

SANDER SLOOTWEG
Managing Partner, Forbion

JULIET AUDET
Partner, Forbion

JAMIE TOPPER
Managing Partner, Frazier Life Sciences

NICHOLAS DOWNING
Partner, Bain Capital

LOU LANGE
Partner, Asset Management Ventures

MICHAEL DAVIDSON
CEO, NewAmsterdam Pharma

JOHN KASTELEIN
CSO, NewAmsterdam Pharma



JOHN W. SMITHER
Independent

BACKED BY TOP TIER INVESTORS:





Net proceeds expected to fund obicetrapib development through several value-creating milestones



Numerous catalysts throughout 2023





NewAmsterdam
Pharma

Obicetrapib for
Cardiovascular Disease



Despite availability of statins, CVD remains the leading cause of death worldwide



Key factors limiting penetration include **product limitations** and **market access hurdles**

**Factoring in new U.S. guidance lowering LDL-C goals to 55 mg/dL for very high-risk patients, which will grow addressable patient population


Despite statins, **CVD deaths on the rise**

Sources: Trinity NewAmsterdam Market Research Summary; Trinity quantitative market research with N = 100 PCPs and Cardiologists; Bloomberg Prescription Data; IQVIA Rx Tracker.
 (1) Literature review suggesting hypercholesterolemia prevalence of ~94mm in the US (average of He et al. 2020, Mercado et al. 2015, Muntner et al. 2013) and ~137mm in EUS (average of Gomez-Huelgas et al. 2010, Guallar-Castillon et al. 2012, Tragni et al. 2012, Grau et al. 2011).
 (2) 2020 US prescription data for statins, PCSK9s, and bempedoic acid were pulled from the Bloomberg Prescription Data Portal that Trinity subscribes to; assuming 12 scripts/year per patient and 70% compliance for PCSK9s (based on PCSK9 literature) and 59% compliance for statins & Nex/Nex (based on statin literature) treated patient volume estimates were derived from the prescription data and extrapolated to the EUS.
 (3) 8mm statin-intolerant & 22mm above LDL-C target: Percentage of patients in each category estimated from Trinity quantitative market research and the = percentages were then applied to the estimated 84mm treated number above.
 (4) <1mm branded patients: 2020 US prescription data for Repatha, Praluent, and Nexletol/Nexlizet were pulled from the Bloomberg Prescription Data Portal that Trinity subscribes to; assuming 12 scripts/year and 70% compliance for PCSK9s (based on PCSK9 literature) and 59% compliance for Nex/Nex (based on statin literature) patient volume estimates were derived from the prescription data and extrapolated to the EUS.




Cardiovascular field is returning to the mantra of “Lower is Better”, as supported by recently published FOURIER-OLE analysis

In patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, long-term achievement of lower LDL-C levels, down to <20 mg/dL (<0.5 mmol/L), was associated with lowest of cardiovascular outcomes compared to patients who achieved less robust LDL-C reduction



Risk of CV death, MI, stroke





Currently approved post-statin LDL-lowering products all fall short of the profile patients need



	Ezetimibe	Nexletol	PCSK9
Efficacy	Modest 	Modest ⁽¹⁾ 	Strong
Administration/ Dose	Oral, Low Dose 10mg 	Oral, High Dose 180mg 	Injectable, High Dose ~140-150mg
Market Access/Price	Generic, Broad Access	Branded Price, Limited Access	Very High Price, Highly Restricted Access (High COGS)
Safety & Tolerability	Safe, Well Tolerated	FDA Label: Tendon rupture & gout warning	Safe Painful injection site reactions
Ancillary Benefit(s)	None	None	Modest Lp(a) Lowering

Patients need a drug that fits the following profile:

Strong⁽¹⁾

Oral,
Low Dose


Disruptive Price,
Broad Access
(Low COGS)

Safe,
Well-Tolerated

Strong Lp(a) Lowering,
HDL Raising has Potential
Benefits in Alzheimer's and
Diabetes





~50% LDL-C reduction efficacy would be virtually identical to PCSK9 injectables and is substantially better than other oral therapies




The trials represented were selected due to their shared features that reflect the Phase 3 obicetrapib studies. Selecting trials with shared features allows for a potentially more accurate comparison of the LDL-C lowering results, with factors being considered such as:
a) presence of intensive LDL-lowering therapy including (high intensity) statins and PCSK9 inhibitors, b) patient population – ASCVD or ASCVD risk equivalent patients (including primary hypercholesterolemia and HeFH) and c) where possible, selected studies where LDL-C measured by preparative ultracentrifugation (PUC) as opposed to Friedewald; noted below are those instances where PUC was not used – this is important because at low LDL-C levels (< 50 mg/dL), calculated LDL-C by Friedewald is overestimated; certain significant deviations from these parameters are provided in the footnotes.

Note: The above trials and data do not represent head-to-head comparisons. Actual results may differ from expectations.
Sources: * Circulation. 2021;144:e564–e593 17065. 1. Bowman, L et al. N Engl J Med 2017. 2. Amirhossein, S et al. Curr Pharmaceutical Design 2016. Meta-analysis - Also included hyperlipidaemia patients. LDL-C measured using direct assays and Friedewald. 3. de Grooth et al. Circulation 2002. LDL-C measured only using Friedewald and did not require subjects to be on prior statin therapy or present with ASCVD. 4. Pi Zetia table 7. refers to; Gagne, C et al. Am J Cardiol 2002. LDL-C measured only using Friedewald. 5. Pi Nexletol; study 2. refers to; Goldberg, A et al. JAMA 2019;322(18):1780-1788. LDL-C measured using Friedewald and direct assay for LDL-C <50 mg/dL. 6. Pi Repatha; study 3. refers to; Blom, D et al. N Engl J Med 2014. Also included hyperlipidaemia patients. 7. Pi Praluent; study 3. refers to; Kereiakes, D et al. Am Heart J 2015. 8. Pi Leqvio; study 1. Refers to; Ray, K. N Engl J Med 2020.


Obicetrapib has potential to be ideal complement to statin therapy



Obicetrapib's LDL and ApoB lowering potency may finally take statin patients to goal




Obicetrapib's robust Lp(a)-lowering effect (~57% lowering seen in P2) counter-acts Lp(a)-raising effect observed with statins



CETPi has been shown clinically to reverse diabetes risk, potentially ameliorating key patient concerns about high dose statins

Obicetrapib has potential to solve a substantial unmet medical need in dyslipidemia



231mm⁽¹⁾
Patients with
hyperlipidemia

>35mm patients not sufficiently addressed by available treatment options

Fewer than 1mm patients treated with current branded options:



PCSK9s



Bempedoic Acid

THE PROBLEM

- Payors highly restrict access
- Low prescriber enthusiasm
- Relatively low patient compliance

THE SOLUTION

OBICETRAPIB



- ✓ ~50% LDL-lowering observed for monotherapy, ~59% in combination with ezetimibe in Phase 2b
- ✓ Potential for attractive pricing to unlock broad access
- ✓ Strong safety and tolerability profile observed
- ✓ Convenient once-daily oral tablet
- ✓ High prescriber and payor enthusiasm

>\$4B⁽²⁾ global market opportunity

(1) Literature review suggesting hypercholesterolemia prevalence of ~94mm in the US (average of He et al. 2020, Mercado et al. 2015, Muntner et al. 2013) and ~137mm in EU5 (average of Gomez-Huelgas et al. 2010, Guallar-Castillon et al. 2012, Tragni et al. 2012, Grau et al. 2011).
(2) Value derived from top-down epidemiology-based market model for obicetrapib; assumptions derived from secondary research / literature and primary market research with US and EU5 physicians and payers.



US market research indicates strong prescriber and payor enthusiasm, wide window of pricing opportunity to enable broad market access strategy

Strong enthusiasm from prescribers and payors:

PRESCRIBER ENTHUSIASM:

	Nexletol	PCSK9i	Obicetrapib
Efficacy	Moderate/Low*	High	High
Safety/Tolerability	Moderate	High	High
Route of Administration	High	Low	High


PAYOR ENTHUSIASM:


"Biggest need (is) a product that works like the PCSK9s but with a more convenient ROA and no diabetes risk"

"Fulfills an important unmet need"

Broad market access opportunity:

Market access hurdles plague existing brands:



PRICE IS TOO HIGH for meaningful access (~\$6K)
"There is a lot of documentation involved as we're really trying to restrict to the highest risk patients that actually need these costly products"
 -Payor


MODEST EFFICACY, comparable to generics, make any branded price hard to justify (~\$4K)

Obicetrapib positioned to unlock significantly better access:

- ✓ Low COGS enables favorable pricing
- ✓ Strong LDL-C lowering, virtually same as injectables
- ✓ Simple, convenient oral pill gives payors confidence around patient compliance
- ✓ Ancillary benefits for diabetes prevention, Lp(a) lowering

Developing monotherapy and FDC in parallel builds in optionality for patients and prescribers, optimizes chances of broad market access



Obicetrapib Monotherapy Product
(obicetrapib 10mg)

- Expected to be preferred:
 - Initially, by **less aggressive** prescribers and those seeking **more experience** with CETPI class
 - By prescribers with **lingering misperceptions** about ezetimibe
 - Over time, expect that this will be the product that moves into earlier lines of therapy and primary care utilization



Note: Nexleto/Nexlizet scripts are currently generating 1:1 sales, however ESPR has signaled expectations for Nexlizet to become preferred with time



Ezetimibe FDC Product
(obicetrapib 10mg + ezetimibe 10mg)

- Expected to be preferred:
 - By **more aggressive** prescribers & KOLs
 - For **highest risk** patients
 - By **more physicians over time** as treatment paradigms shift, CETPI experience is gained

*Parity pricing with monotherapy should ensure parity access
CVOT data from both obicetrapib and ezetimibe monotherapies + Phase 3 FDC data would be included in FDC label!*

MORE EXPERIENCE WITH CETPI


plus

BROADER ADOPTION OF NEW TREATMENT PARADIGMS:

- ✓ "Lower is better"
- ✓ Decreased LDL target goals
- ✓ Earlier-line use of combination therapy

Expected to drive heavier FDC utilization over time

Obicetrapib will launch at least 2-3 years ahead of any oral PCSK9 with a better optimized product profile





NewAmsterdam
Pharma



Disease background and
summary of clinical data





In ROSE Phase 2b clinical trial, obicetrapib demonstrated robust LDL-C lowering as adjunct to high intensity statins¹


Preparative ultra-centrifugation (PUC) is "gold-standard" for LDL-C quantification



Median (min, max) LDL-C levels (mg/dL) at baseline and EoT			
Time	Placebo	Obicetrapib 5mg	Obicetrapib 10mg
Baseline	90.0	95.0	88.0
	(63, 204)	(54, 236)	(39, 207)
	N=40	N=39	N=40
EoT	86.0	53.0	49.5
	(43, 137)	(13, 126)	(23, 83)
	N=39	N=39	N=40
% Change from Baseline (median)	-6.5	-41.45	-50.75
	(-53.9, 31.6)	(-71.2, 62.3)	(-76.9, 15.6)
	N=39	N=38*	N=40
% Change from Baseline LS mean (95% CI)	-4.76	-37.98	-44.15
	(-11.74, 2.22)	(-44.80, -31.17)	(-50.95, -37.35)
	P-value	0.1814	<0.0001


Robust consistency of efficacy profile across multiple studies and within ROSE

LDL-C lowering activity of obicetrapib 10mg across all efficacy studies to-date






ROSE waterfall: 10mg vs. placebo

- Almost all patients experienced meaningful LDL-C reductions
- LDL-C reduction of >60% was observed in 40% of obicetrapib 10mg patients



ApoB & Lp(a) percent reduction from baseline in ROSE¹

- ApoB is currently considered the most important biomarker for CVD risk reduction (in addition to non-HDL and LDL-C)
- Elevated Lp(a) is emerging as a strong and independent marker of cardiovascular risk and an exciting new CVD drug target





Obicetrapib safety profile in ROSE and across multiple studies: overview of AEs, SAEs and withdrawals

Clean safety profile; Similar rates of drug discontinuation were observed for obicetrapib and placebo



ROSE Safety				Pooled Safety		
	Placebo (N=40)	Obicetrapib, 5mg (N=40)	Obicetrapib, 10mg (N=40)	Comparator ⁽¹⁾ (N=231)	Obicetrapib Pooled 5mg + 10mg ⁽²⁾ (N=309)	
AEs (%)				TEAEs (%)		
AEs, total	19 (47.5)	15 (37.5)	8 (20.0)	TEAEs, total	136 (58.9)	173 (55.9)
AEs, related	4 (10.0)	2 (5.0)	1 (2.5)	TEAEs, related	45 (19.5)	49 (15.8)
AEs, severe	1 (2.5)	0	0	TEAEs, severe	5 (2.2)	7 (2.3)
SAEs				TESAEs		
SAEs, total	2 (5.0)	0	0	*TESAEs, total	6 (2.6)	4 (1.3)
SAEs, related	0	0	0	TESAEs, related	0	0
Deaths	0	0	0	Deaths	0	0
Withdrawals study / medication				Withdrawals study / medication		
TEAEs leading to discontinuation of study drug	1 (2.5)	0	0	TEAEs leading to discontinuation of study drug	13 (5.6)	13 (4.2)
TESAEs leading to discontinuation of study	0	0	0	TESAEs leading to discontinuation of study	0	0

* There were three additional TESAEs in other obicetrapib dose arms: two in the TULIP 2.5mg arm, and one in the Lp(a) 2.5mg arm; none were considered to be related to study drug.
 (1) The Comparator group included patients receiving placebo and non-obicetrapib monotherapy.
 (2) The pooled obicetrapib group includes patients treated with obicetrapib as a monotherapy and in combination with atorvastatin, rosuvastatin and ezetimibe.




Target biology and class
overview:

Key lessons learned






CETP inhibition works to lower LDL via a two-step mechanism of action


STEP 1: CETPi stops transfer of cholesterol from LDL to HDL, increasing HDL-C and lowering LDL-C



Electron micrograph



STEP 2: Increase in HDL particles leads to increased LDL-R expression in the liver, promoting further clearance of LDL



PCSK9, STATINS, EZETIMIBE, OBICETRAPIB

Decrease in hepatic cholesterol

Increase in hepatic LDL receptors

Decrease in plasma LDL levels

Most current LDL-lowering therapies, including CETPi, work by promoting LDL receptor upregulation

Absolute reduction of LDL-C and ApoB, and duration of that reduction are key to reducing cardiovascular risk


MACE benefits impacted by 2 key factors:

ABSOLUTE REDUCTION

STUDY DURATION


Key factor 1: Absolute reduction

CTT meta-regression line shows a *linear and predictable* relationship between absolute LDL-C lowering and MACE reduction




Key factor 2: Study duration

Meta-analysis of CVOT duration shows that ~3.5 year median follow up optimizes the probability of seeing *maximal MACE reduction benefit*



Obicetrapib program designed to overcome limitations of all prior CETP inhibitors



We believe that all prior CETPi were developed with a misguided focus on HDL increase (rather than LDL decrease) as the primary MoA for CVD risk reduction, leading to inappropriate compound selection or inappropriate CVOT design

Drug	Safety	LDL-Lowering Potency	CVOT Design (Duration & Baseline LDL)	Commercial Viability
TORCETRAPIB¹ Suffered from drug-specific toxicity issue (Pfizer)	OFF-TARGET TOXICITY, INCREASED BLOOD PRESSURE, ALDOSTERONE (seen early in Phase 2)	NO LDL-LOWERING		
DALCETRAPIB² Drug showed no LDL-lowering efficacy (Roche)	Safe & well-tolerated	NO LDL-LOWERING	INSUFFICIENT TRIAL DURATION (only 2 years)	
EVACETRAPIB³ Overall mortality benefit (P=.04) – but CVOT was too short to demonstrate MACE benefit (Lilly)	Safe & well-tolerated <i>Strong safety profile across ~59k patients</i>	Modest LDL-lowering	INSUFFICIENT TRIAL DURATION (only 2 years)	
ANACETRAPIB⁴ Meaningful MACE benefit observed - but drug accumulated in fat tissue (Merck)	Safe & well-tolerated	Modest LDL-lowering	Sufficient duration (4.1 years, with 6.4 year follow up) Baseline LDL too low (60 mg/dL)	COMMERCIAL VIABILITY COMMERCIALLY UNVIABLE - HIGH LIPOPHILICITY AND FAT TISSUE ACCUMULATION LED TO 4+ YEAR HALF-LIFE

OBICETRAPIB⁵

- ✓ Strong safety and tolerability profile observed in >600 patients through Phase 2b
- ✓ No concerns seen in biomarker safety data, including blood pressure-associated biomarkers

- ✓ ~50% LDL-LOWERING OBSERVED IN PHASE 2B

- ✓ ~59% LDL-LOWERING OBSERVED IN FDC PHASE 2

- ✓ Longer trial duration (4 yrs) +
- ✓ High baseline LDL (100 mg/dL)⁽¹⁾

= PREVAIL CVOT design expected to translate into >20% MACE benefit

- ✓ Favorable PK/PD profile
- ✓ No accumulation in fat tissue observed

NewAmsterdam Pharma Note: The above trials and data do not represent head-to-head comparisons.
 (1) Represents estimated average baseline LDL to be enrolled, not entry criteria.
 Sources: 1. Barter PJ, et al. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2109-2122; 2. Schwartz GG, et al. N Engl J Med 2012;367:2089-2099; 3. Lincoff AM, et al. N Engl J Med 2017;376:1933-1942; 4. The HPS3/TIMISS-REVEAL Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 2017; 377:1217-1227; 5. Data on file



ACCELERATE, REVEAL and IMPROVE-IT demonstrate that CVOT study duration should be long enough to see optimal MACE benefit


Kaplan-Meier curves for these trial, with very similar absolute ApoB reductions, show separation later than 2 years, which is the point in time that ACCELERATE stopped



MACE benefits impacted by 2 key factors:

ABSOLUTE REDUCTION

STUDY DURATION



MACE benefits in CVOT of anacetrapib (REVEAL) observed to be exactly as expected, informing NewAmsterdam's CVOT design for obicetrapib



MACE benefits impacted by 2 key factors:

- ABSOLUTE REDUCTION
- STUDY DURATION

At 4.1 years, two important learnings:

Learning 1: Predictable MACE benefit

- 9% drop in MACE is **exactly predicted** by the CTT metaregression line
- Indicates CETPi behaves like statins in reducing MACE

Learning 2: Baseline levels were too low

- Baseline 60 mg/dL **already below U.S. guideline goals**
- Modest drug LDL-lowering potency (17%) resulted in **very small absolute reduction** (only 11 mg/dL)



At 6.4 years: **20%** additional MACE risk reduction

Anacetrapib's long half-life causes it to continue to have effects in patients (patients remained randomized)



At both time readouts, REVEAL showed statistically significant drop across **all composites** of MACE*

REVEAL supports translation from absolute LDL reduction to MACE benefit

EXPERIENCE: REVEAL (*anacetrapib*)




PREDICTION: PREVAIL (*obicetrapib*)



* Composites of MACE included in this analysis were coronary death, myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization.
 Source: The HPS3/TIMI55-REVEAL Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 2017; 377:1217-1227
 Cholesterol Treatment Trialists Collaboration. Lancet. 2010 376:1670-81.


Note: Actual results may differ from expectations.
 Source: Cholesterol Treatment Trialists Collaboration. Lancet. 2010 376:1670-81
 Obicetrapib Lowers LDL-C in Patients Taking High Intensity Statins. Circulation. 2021;144:e564-e593 17065.
 (1) Represents estimated average baseline LDL to be enrolled, not entry criteria.
 ** MACE includes cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke and non-elective coronary revascularization in adults.

PREVAIL trial design & power calculations leverage lessons learned



Differentiation over prior CVOTs


- Study design:
 - n = 9000
 - Inclusion: ASCVD patients on maximally tolerated statins with risk enhancers and LDL-C > 70mg/dl
 - Minimum follow up 2.5 years
- Primary endpoint: 4-point MACE
- First secondary: 3-point MACE
- Prespecified endpoints:
 - Conversion of pre-diabetes to diabetes
 - A1c levels in diabetes patients
- Power assumptions:
 - 4.4% annual event rate
 - 45mg/dl reduction in LDL-C
 - 90% power to achieve a 20% relative risk reduction (p < 0.01)





NewAmsterdam
Pharma



Therapeutic area expansion
opportunities



HDL and apoA1 effects potentially offer pipeline expansion opportunities in Alzheimer's, AMD and diabetes


- HDL is the "vacuum cleaner" of the body, effluxing free cholesterol out of peripheral tissues to promote healthy cell function & survival¹
- NewAmsterdam is exploring potential HDL- and apoA1- raising benefits in other indications such as Alzheimer's disease (AD) and diabetes

Obicetrapib observed to increase HDL by >160%



POSITIVE TISSUE EFFECTS IN THE BRAIN

- Increasing HDL and apoA1 is expected to promote healthy tissue survival in the brain²
- Administration of CETPi to APP/CETP knock-in mice observed to promote cholesterol removal from the brain and improve cognition³
- We are testing obicetrapib in Alzheimer's patients in a Phase 2a biomarker study




POSITIVE BETA ISLET CELL EFFECTS IN THE PANCREAS

- Increasing HDL is expected to promote beta islet cell survival in the pancreas, potentially improving insulin production^{4,5}
- All four prior CETPi CVOTs demonstrated statistically significant reduction of diabetes risk or reversal of diabetes progression⁶⁻⁹
- We are measuring diabetes progression as an endpoint in PREVAIL and are exploring regulatory paths for a diabetes prevention indication





NewAmsterdam
Pharma

Clinical development and
exclusivity timelines




Net proceeds expected to fund obicetrapib development through several value-creating milestones








Appendix



Obicetrapib development history



Preclinical—Phase 1b (2008-2012)

- Mitsubishi Tanabe (MTPC) is a Japanese pharmaceutical company, invented obicetrapib in late 2000's
- MTPC completed development through Phase 1b and thereafter deprioritized any lipid-lowering therapeutics
- Dr. Kastelein was a consultant of MTPC, Phase 1b data compelled him to spin-out program to NewCo (Dezima Pharma) in 2012

Mitsubishi
Tanabe
Pharma

Further development through Phase 2a (2012-2015)

- Completed further early Phase 1/2 studies
- Completed Phase 2a TULIP study on top of low-dose statins, demonstrating ~45% LDL-lowering efficacy
- Company was acquired by Amgen in 2015 for \$300M upfront + \$1.5B in CVRs

DEZIMA
pharma

Manufacturing scale-up (2015-2016)

- "Paused" clinical development to scale-up manufacturing to support Phase 3/commercial supply
- Discovered manufacturing process that led to current \$3/month projected peak COGS
- In 2015/2016, a "perfect storm" of external factors (incl. Merck and Lilly CETPi CVOT read-outs) led to a re-prioritization within Amgen's CVD portfolio, and obicetrapib was de-prioritized to 4th-in-line for a CVOT

AMGEN

- Dr. Kastelein & Forbion spun obicetrapib from Amgen to NAMS in 2020, resulting in full transfer of IP ownership & full global rights
- Amgen and MTPC are shareholders of NAMS, hold no other commercial/economic rights (i.e., no milestones/royalties)




NewAmsterdam
Pharma

Phase 2b through CVOT
and commercialization
(2020 through present)

Menarini partnership overview

Partnership with Menarini brings in significant non-dilutive capital and could enable NewAmsterdam to simultaneously launch obicetrapib in different markets with the ideal partner to optimize the commercial opportunity in the EU






LDL-C, ApoB and Lp(a) are causal in the development of atherosclerosis and heart disease

ApoB is a molecule that envelopes LDL in a 1:1 ratio


LDL-C



ApoB: Each LDL-C particle contains one ApoB molecule


- ApoB-containing particles can become trapped in the arterial wall
- High ApoB levels lead to atherosclerotic plaque formation and buildup over time

Lp(a)



Each Lp(a) particle has a LDL particle that contains an ApoB wrapped with an Apo(a) molecule

- Genetic mutations can lead to higher Lp(a) levels in certain individuals
- These individuals are at a higher risk of developing arterial plaques and experiencing adverse cardiovascular events





Atherosclerotic plaque


Reducing the number of ApoB and Lp(a) particles in circulation is critical to halting plaque build-up and reducing CV risk

Genetic support that CETPi drives CVD benefit through LDL reduction

Analysis of >1mm patient-years' shows loss-of-function equivalent to targets of other LDL-lowering drugs




- A **16% reduction** in CVD risk is observed for every 10 mg/dL decrease in LDL levels
- This is ~equivalent to the effect seen in loss-of-function genotypes for **statins, PCSK9 inhibitors and ezetimibe**






More CETP = more CAD risk, less HDL, more LDL and more ApoB

REVEAL long-term follow-up identified risk enhancers important for PREVAIL



HIGHER RISK subgroups have higher event rates & larger treatment effects



Best risk enhancer shows highest decrease (linked to DM, obesity, etc)

RR = relative risk
ARR = absolute risk reduction
NNT = number needed to treat


PREVAIL study inclusion criteria requires high baseline LDL-C (also translates to high ApoB)

Inclusion criteria: LDL-C ≥ 70 mg/dL


PREVAIL study risk enhancers will increase high-risk patient populations

Inclusion criteria: Lp(a) >75 mg/dL, HDL-C <40 mg/dL, triglycerides >150 mg/dL

Obicetrapib does not show an effect on systolic and diastolic blood pressure




- In the TULIP study, obicetrapib did not show any effect on blood pressure, aldosterone and electrolytes
- A dedicated meta-analysis of the obicetrapib ROSE, TULIP and OCEAN study did not reveal any signal in systolic and diastolic blood pressure
- By contrast, in the cardiovascular outcome trial ILLUMINATE, torcetrapib showed a significant 5.4 and 2.0mm Hg increase in systolic blood and diastolic pressure and was associated with a significant decrease in serum potassium, and increases in serum sodium, bicarbonate and aldosterone







Consistent LDL-C lowering and HDL-C increase observed across three Phase 2 studies of obicetrapib




HDL-C levels increase



LDL-C levels decrease



OCEAN: Phase 2b evaluating 5mg obicetrapib in combination with 10mg ezetimibe



Objective To evaluate the effect of obicetrapib in combination with ezetimibe compared to placebo on LDL-C

Inclusion criteria

- Mild dyslipidaemia
- Fasting LDL-C levels >2.5 mmol/L and <4.5 mmol/L

Exclusion criteria


- Currently taking any lipid-lowering therapy
- Any clinical manifestation of atherosclerotic CVD
- Diagnosis of type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus
- Uncontrolled hypertension

Primary efficacy endpoint

- Percent change from baseline in LDL-C for the combination therapy group compared to the placebo group

Study design

Patients (n=100)
Mild dyslipidaemia
(18 – 75 years)






In OCEAN, obicetrapib was observed to have LDL-lowering efficacy that is additive with ezetimibe





LDL-C in mg/dL by PUC & Percent change from baseline



Median (min, max) LDL-C levels (mg/dL) at baseline and EoT

Time	Placebo	Ezetimibe 10mg	Obicetrapib 5mg	Obi 5 + Eze 10mg
Baseline Median	136.0 (101, 177) (N=24)	127.0 (76, 189) (N=27)	121.0 (82, 153) (N=27)	123.0 (89, 186) (N=27)
EoT Median	138.0 (88, 193) (N=25)	105.0 (66, 142) (N=24)	86.5 (38, 137) (N=26)	63.5 (34, 133) (N=24)
% change from BL median	-2.0 (-24.5, 35.9) (N=27)	-14.90 (-46.8, 46.9) (N=25)	-30.10 (-56.7, 19.1) (N=25)	-51.40 (-69.6, 8.1) (N=24)
% change from BL LS Mean (95% CI)	1.40 (-6.03, 8.84)	-12.86 (-20.29, -5.42)	-30.70 (-38.21, -23.19)	-40.95 (-48.73, -33.16)
p-value	0.7116	0.0007	<0.0001	<0.0001


OCEAN: ApoB in mg/dL & percent change from baseline



Median (min, max) ApoB levels (mg/dL) at baseline and EoT

Time	Placebo	Ezetimibe 10mg	Obicetrapib 5mg	Obi 5mg + Eze 10mg
Baseline Median	105.5 (74, 141) (N=28)	103.0 (79, 133) (N=28)	102.0 (74, 124) (N=28)	105.0 (77, 158) (N=27)
EoT Median	107.0 (69, 153) (N=27)	94.0 (59, 137) (N=25)	75.0 (45, 103) (N=26)	73.0 (49, 105) (N=24)
% change from BL Median	-0.9 (-19.8, 25.4) (N=27)	-8.9 (-45.4, 32.3) (N=25)	-23.5 (-39.3, 21.2) (N=26)	-34.8 (-53.0, 8.9) (N=24)


OCEAN safety: TEAEs, TESAEs and withdrawals overview



Obicetrapib 5mg well tolerated compared to placebo

	Placebo	Ezetimibe 10mg	Obicetrapib 5mg	Obi 5mg / Eze 10mg
TEAEs (%)				
TEAEs, total	6 (21.4)	8 (28.6)	4 (14.3)	9 (33.3)
TEAEs, related	4 (14.3)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.1)
TEAEs, severe	0	1 (3.6)	0	0
TESAEs				
TESAEs, total	0	2 (7.1)	0	0
TESAEs, related	0	0	0	0
Deaths	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal's study / medication				
TEAEs leading to discon of study drug	1 (3.6)	1 (3.6)	0	2 (7.4)
TESAEs leading to discon of study	0	1 (3.6)	0	0


TULIP Phase 2a trial results





TULIP clinical trial design

- Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial, once daily dosing
- Endpoints:
 - Percent change in LDL-C at week 12 compared to baseline
 - Safety
 - Tolerability
- Duration: 12 weeks treatment
- Patients:
 - 364 patients (aged 18–75 years)
 - Fasting LDL-C levels: 2.5 - 4.5 mmol/L


OUTCOMES OF TULIP TRIAL



TULIP: HDL-C, ApoA-1, ApoE and nascent HDL levels increases observed




Obicetrapib bears significant potential to also beneficially impact diabetes risk




CETP validated both genetically and clinically to reduce/reverse diabetes risk

- While statins increase diabetes risk by 11-15%¹, CETP inhibition is clinically demonstrated to reverse existing diabetes, decrease new-onset diabetes, and improve glucose control
- Genetic CETP deficiency lowers glucose levels²



Dalcetrapib reduces the risk of diabetes by 26% and increases the conversion to non-diabetes in high risk CV patients



Sources: ¹ Sattar. Lancet. 2010 Feb 27; ² Brian ference, data on file; ³ REVEAL trial. N Engl J Med. 2017 Sep 28; ⁴ Barter. Circulation. 2011 Aug 2